Denby High School

313-866-7200 | 12800 Kelly Rd | Detroit, MI 48224 | HS

2014-15 Enrollment: 713



2014-15 Demographics: Asian: 0% | Black: 99% | Hispanic: 0% | White: 0% | Economically Disadvantaged: 74% | Special Education: 14% Schools that commits to a coherent, innovative instructional & organizational strategy will foster a strong culture of learning that will engage students, families, and the community, accelerate student learning growth, and ensure that all students reach their fullest potential, in college and the workforce.

All EAA schools are evaluated using a School Performance Framework. The purpose of the Performance Framework is to comprehensively evaluate school performance, provide actionable feedback to improve schools, and inform targeted district support. The Performance Framework Categories, described below, incorporate multiple quantitative and qualitative measures of school performance that, in combination, provide a holistic picture of how a school is progressing and impacting student outcomes.

What ratings does my school receive?

Schools receive the following ratings:

- Overall Performance Framework Grade of A, B, C, D, or F (located on page 1 in the upper right hand corner)
- Category Grades of A, B, C, D, or F for each of the 6 categories described in the table below (located on page 1 in the table below and on subsequent pages in the left-most column)
- Metric Ratings of Very High, High, Intermediate, Low, or Very Low for the All Schools Comparison and Similar Schools Comparison (located on the subsquent pages)
- Metric Ratings of Significantly Improved, Improved, Maintained, Declined, or Significantly Declined for the Prior Year(s) Comparison (located in the right-most column on the subsequent pages)

What do the All Schools, Similar Schools, and Prior Year(s) Comparisons measure?

Similar Schools: Compares an EAA school's performance with the performance of a group of schools serving similar students

All Schools: Compares an EAA school's performance with the performance of all schools in Michigan, all schools in Detroit, or all schools in the EAA*

Prior Year(s) Performance: Compares an EAA school's performance with its own average performance for the three prior years*

Where can I find more information?

More information about the Performance Framework calculations and individual metric scores is in the Appendix of this report.

Overview of Performance Framework Category Grades A clear and coherent vision for school improvement is a critical factor in making significant student Strategic Commitment learning gains. Purposefully cultivating a culture of learning ensures that school staff and students are held to **Culture of Learning** high standards of excellence, and these standards are clear and consistent across classrooms. Student, Family, & Engaging students, families, and the community in the learning process is necessary to create a **Community Engagement** strong school community and have a lasting impact on student success. The extent of students' progress from year to year is a direct result of schools contribution to **Growth Measures** student learning. Students who are furthest behind are most in need of making rapid progress. It is critical that students meet basic thresholds to ensure they have both the foundational skills Academic Achievement and the prerequisites to advance in their education or career The extent to which students have exceeded basic thresholds determines their ability to succeed **Prepared for Success** in the next phase of their life – additional educational advancement or career pursuits.

^{*} Data availability varies by metrics; data for some metrics are only available for all schools in Detroit (e.g., 5 Essential Survey results) or all schools in the EAA (e.g., School Quality Review results). For the prior year(s) comparison, one, two, or three years of data may be included depending on availability.

		Comparison Ratings			
Metric	Current Year	Similar Schools	All Schools	Prior Year(s)	
rategic Commitment					
School Quality Review Domain 1 (out of 4)	1.5	Very Low	Very Low	NA	
5 Essentials Survey Effective Leaders (out of 10	0) 23	tow	Low	Significantly Declin	
Category Rating		Very Low	Very Low	Significantly Declin	
lture of Learning	1000				
School Quality Review Domain 2 (out of 4)	1.8	tow	- tow	NA NA	
5 Essentials Survey Ambitious Instruction (out o	of 100) 62	High	Intermediate	Significantly Improved	
5 Essentials Survey Collaborative Teachers (out	of 100) 31	Intermediate	fow	Significantly Declin	
Insight Survey Score (out of 10)	5.1	Intermediate	NA	NA	
Percent of Teachers Retained from Previous Ye 100)	ar (out of 67%	Very High	NA	Maintained (-)	
Percent of Highly Effective/Effective Teachers R from Previous Year (out of 100)	Setained 71%	Very High	NA NA	Maintained (-)	
Percent of Teachers Absent 10 days or Fewer (c	out of Coming in 2015-16	NA NA	NA	NA .	
Staff Attendance Rate (out of 100)	Coming in 2015-16	NA	NA NA	NA	
Category Ratings		High	Low	Maintained (-)	
mmunity, Family, & Student Engagement				1 19 1	
School Quality Review Domain 3 (out of 4)	1.5	Very Low	Very Low	NA .	
5 Essentials Survey Involved Families (out of 100	26	Low	Low	Maintained (-)	
5 Essentials Survey Supportive Environment (ou	at of 100) 46	High	Intermediate	Significantly Improved	
5 Essentials Survey Parent Survey (out of 100)	66	High	Very Low	Significantly Decline	
Percent of Students Retained from Previous Yea	ar (out of 69%	Very High	NA	Maintained (+)	
Percent of Students Absent 10 School Days or Fewer (out of 100)	ewer 16%	Low	Very Low	Significantly Decline	
Student Attendance Rate (out of 100)	80%	Intermediate	Very Low	Improved	
Out of School Suspension Rate Per 1000 Studen 100)	ts (out of 3.0	NA .	NA	NA	
Category Ratings		Intermediate	Very Low	Maintained (-)	

0.000	NAME OF THE PARTY		Comparison Ratings		
	Metric	Current Year	Similar Schools	All Schools	Prior Year(
gory 4: Stu	dent Growth				
	Median Student Growth Percentile Math (out of 100)	26.6	Very Low	Very Low	NA.
	Median Student Growth Percentile ELA (out of 100) Median Student Growth Percentile Social Studies (out of 100)	24.0	Very Low	Very Low	NA
U		28.0	1ow	Very Low	NA.
	Median Student Growth Percentile Science (out of 100)	30.6	Intermediate	Very Low	NA.
	Category Ratings		Low	Very Low	NA.
gory 5: Aca	demic Achievement				
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in ELA (out of 100)	1.7%	Very Low	Very Low	NA NA
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Math (out of 100)	0.0%	Very Low	Very Low	NA.
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Science (out of 100)	0.6%	Intermediate	Very Low	NA
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Social Studies (out of 100)	2.4%	Intermediate	Very Low	NA
Percent of Students (of 100)	Percent of Students On-Track at End of 9th Grade (out of 100)	26%	Low	NA	NA.
	Average ACT Score (out of 36)	13.2	Very Low	Very Low	Significantly Dec
	Percent of Students Who Graduate in 4 Years (out of 100)	68%	Intermediate	Very Low	Significantly Improved
	Percent of Students Who Graduate in 5 Years (out of 100)	80%	High	Very Low	Improved
	Category Ratings		Low	Very Low	Maintalned (
gory 6: Pre	pared for Success				
	Percent of Students Earning a College-Ready Score on All Four ACT Subject Areas (out of 100)	1%	Very High	Very Low	Significantly Improved
	Percent of Students Achieving Silver or Higher on WorkKeys (out of 100)	14%	Very Low	NA.	Maintained (
	Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College within 6 months of Graduation (out of 100)	23%	Very Low	Very Low	Significantly Dec
	Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College Who Do Not Require Remediation (out of 100)	17%	Intermediate	Very Low	Significantly Dec
*	Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 12 months (out of 100)	6%	Very Low	Very Low	Maintained (
	Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 24 months (out of 100)	12%	Very Low	Very Low	Significantly Dec
			Low		Declined